

# GENETIC VARIABILITY FOR YIELD AND QUALITY TRAITS IN GINGER (ZINGIBER OFFICINALE ROSCOE)

# RAVISHANKER<sup>1</sup>, SANTOSH KUMAR<sup>1</sup>, A. CHATTERJEE<sup>2</sup> D. K. BARANWAL<sup>3</sup> AND S. S. SOLANKEY<sup>4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Horticulture,

Narendra Dev University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad - 224 229, U. P., INDIA <sup>2</sup>Division of Crop Improvement, Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi - 221 305, U. P., INDIA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics,

Bihar Agricultural University, Bhagalpur - 813210, Bihar, INDIA

<sup>\*4</sup>Department of Horticulture (Vegetable and Floriculture),

ABSTRACT

Bihar Agricultural University, Bhagalpur - 813 210, Bihar, INDIA

e-mail: shashank.hort@gmail.com

KEYWORDS Ginger ANOVA Correlation GCV, PCV

Quality components **Received on :** 26.07.2013

Accepted on : 17.10.2013

\*Corresponding author

# INTRODUCTION

Ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe) is an important delicacy, medicine, spice and monocotyledonous perennial herb belonging to the family Zingiberaceae. It is a valuable cash crop and widely used due to its pleasant pungent and spicy aroma required in the manufacture of a number of by products. Ginger plays an important role in Indian Ayurvedic medicine as a folk remedy to promote cleaning of the body through perspiration and stimulate cold treatments whereas ginger oil obtained from dry ginger powder is primarily used as a flavouring agent in confectionary, preservation as well as medicine.

It is basic need to develop high yielding varieties with better quality to increase the production and productivity of ginger in India. The available germplasm serves as most valuable natural reservoir for providing donor parent to improve the particular traits by genetic reconstruction of plant (Hawkes, 1981). Therefore, collection, conservation and evaluation of germplasm are essential for present as well as future crop improvement programmes.

It is urgent need to exploit the existing ginger germplasm for assessing genetic variability, heritability and correlation. Rhizome yield is a complex trait depends upon a number of yield component and their association. Magnitude and

plant (0.87, 45.69) were found superior traits and representing additive genetic variance. Effective selection would be made considering these traits. Genotypic correlation coefficient revealed that rhizome yield had significant positive correlation with length of primary finger (0.40), ascorbic acid content (0.37), number of primary fingers (0.35), plant height (0.36) and diameter of primary finger (0.31).

The genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance and correlation coefficient were estimated for yield and

quality traits in twenty five ginger germplasm. Wide genetic variation was observed for all genotypes for yield per

plant, plant height and days taken to harvest. Considering genetic parameters, high GCV was found highest for

acidity (42.94%) followed by oleoresin content (37.50%), ascorbic acid content (34.78%) and yield per plant

(23.81g), respectively. In all cases, phenotypic variances were higher than the genotypic variances. Based on high heritability coefficient ( $h^2$  b.s.) coupled with high genetic advance as % of mean, oleoresin content (0.98,

76.36%), ascorbic acid content (0.97, 70.42), acidity % (0.93, 85.45%), TSS per cent (0.90, 43.71) and yield per

direction of association between two or more component result correlation coefficient. Correlation coefficient analysis reveals better understanding of yield component and assists in effective selection and hybridization programmes as similar reported by Johnson *et al.* (1955) and Singh *et al.* (1985). Keeping this in background, the present paper deals with the genetic variability for yield and quality traits in ginger.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present experiment was conducted under net house at main experiment station of Department of Vegetable Science, Narendra Dev University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad (U.P.), India during kharif season, 2007-08 and 2008-09 to characterize twenty five ginger accessions collected from different locations of India in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications (Table 1). The experimental site is located in between 24.47° and 26.56° N latitude and 82.12° and 83.98° E longitude having elevation of 113 m above the mean sea level in the Gangetic alluvial plains of eastern Uttar Pradesh which falls under humid subtropical climate. The experimental field had sandy loam, slightly alkaline soil (pH 8.0), low in organic carbon and nitrogen, medium in phosphorus and potassium. The experimental field was prepared by harrowing with hand hoeing followed by leveling whereas well decomposed manure F.Y.M @ 15 tonnes

per hectare were applied at 30 days before sowing. Selected rhizomes of large shiny, free from spots or marks bud or eve injury were cut into pieces of 3-5cm in the length, 15-20gm in weight and at least one sound bud treated with fungicide like carbendazim or mancozeb by dissolving 30 gm of the chemicals in 15 litres water as a safeguard against soft rot and to induce early sprouting as similar reported by Ravishanker et al., 2013. Single row of 1.40 m plot with the spacing of 40 cm row to row and 20cm plant to plant was maintained. The each germplasm pieces were sown on 18th may in 2007 and irrigation was done at weekly interval during summer as per requirement. Recommended package and protective measures were followed to raise healthy crops. The data were recorded from five randomly selected plants from each treatment in each replication and replication wise mean data was used for statistical analysis for thirteen diverse traits viz. plant height (cm), girth of plant (cm), days taken to harvest, number of primary fingers, length of primary fingers (cm), diameter of primary fingers (cm), numbers of secondary fingers, TSS (%), acidity (%), ascorbic acid content (mg/100g of edible portion), dry rhizome recovery (%), oleoresin content (%) and fresh yield per plant (g).

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) for RBD was estimated according to Panse and Sukhtame (1989) (Table 2). The genotypic and phenotypic variances were calculated according to Johnson *et al.* (1955) and Comstock and Robinson (1952). Genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and

phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) were calculated by the method suggested by Singh and Chaudhary (1985) whereas heritability in broad sense for yield and its components were worked out by using formula suggested by Hanson *et al.* (1956). Genetic advance (GA) was calculated by the method suggested by Johnson *et al.* (1955). Genotypic and phenotypic correlations were partitioned using the technique outlined by Dewey and Lu (1959).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Extreme significant treatment variance was found for all 13 diverse traits (Table 2). High GCV was found for acidity, oleoresin content, ascorbic acid, yield per plant and T.S.S. (Table 2). Based on genetic variability analysis, only six genotypes out of twenty five genotypes viz. Sultanpur-2, FZD-2. NDG-41. NDG-8. NDG-22 and NDG-18 were found to be most promising for rhizome yield and quality traits. In general, PCV estimates were higher than GCV estimates for all studied traits (Table 3). The GCV was found highest for acidity (%) (42.94) followed by oleoresin content (37.50), ascorbic acid content (34.78) and yield per plant (23.81), respectively. It indicates that the presence of maximum amount of genetic variability which emphasized the wide scope of selection for the improvement of these characters (Ravishanker et al., 2013). The influence of environment was expected to be minimum when difference between GCV and PCV was less in magnitude

Table 1: Collection of 25 indigenous ginger genotypes from selected areas of India

S. No.	Accessions	Area of collection
1.	DEO-1, DEO-2, DEO-3	Deoria district, U.P.
2.	FZD-1, FZD-2, NDG-6, NDG-8, NDG-12, NDG-14, NDG-16, NDG-18, NDG-22, NDG-35,	Faizabad district, U.P.
	NDG-36, NDG-39, NDG-41, NDG-53	
3.	Suprabha, V2E5-2, PGS-8	Calicut district, Kerala
4.	JNP-1, JNP-2, JNP-3	Jaunpur district, U.P.
5.	Sultanpur-1, Sultanpur-2	Sultanpur district, U.P.

#### Table 2: ANOVA for yield and quality contributing 13 diverse traits in ginger

r														
Source	d.f.	Plant	Girth of	Days	No. of	Length of	Diameter of	No. of	TSS	Acidity	Ascorbic	Dry matter	Oleoresin	Yield/
		height	plant	takento	primary	primary	primary	secondary	(%)	(%)	acid content	content	content	plant (fresh)
		(cm)	(cm)	harvest	finger	finger	finger	finger			(mg/100g)	(%)	(%)	(g)
Replications	2	391.03	0.00	898.62	0.14	0.06	0.03	0.15	0.07	0.00	0.21	0.14	1.37	1232.56
Treatments	24	276.01**	0.04*	354.19**	1.60*	0.48*	0.18*	1.81*	11.44*	0.14*	7.68*	13.70**	12.75**	4505.67**
Error	48	63.31	0.00	278.09	0.07	0.05	0.01	0.10	0.40	0.003	0.08	1.50	0.09	218.02

Table 3: Mean, genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variability, heritability (broad sense) and genetic advance of yield and quality contributing traits in ginger

Traits	Grand mean	Genotypic	Phenotypic	Coeffici	ent of va	riability	Heritability	Genetic	Genetic
	$(X) \pm SE$	variance	variance	ECV	GCV	PCV	(broad	advance	advance as
		Vg	Vp				sense)		% of mean
Plant height (cm)	$92.97 \pm 4.59$	70.90	134.21	8.56	9.06	12.46	0.53	12.61	13.56
Girth of plant (cm)	$0.96 \pm 0.47$	0.01	0.02	8.37	11.84	14.50	0.67	0.19	19.91
Days taken to harvest	$257.28 \pm 9.63$	25.37	303.46	6.48	1.96	6.78	0.08	3.00	1.17
No. of primary finger	$3.91~\pm~0.16$	0.51	0.58	6.92	18.29	19.56	0.88	1.38	35.25
Length of primary finger	$3.83~\pm~0.14$	0.14	0.20	6.39	9.89	11.78	0.71	0.66	17.11
Diameter of primary finger	$2.16~\pm~0.06$	0.06	0.07	4.73	11.27	12.22	0.85	0.46	21.41
No. of secondary finger	$4.55 \pm 0.18$	0.57	0.67	7.03	16.62	18.04	0.85	1.43	31.52
TSS (%)	$8.59~\pm~0.37$	3.68	4.08	7.38	23.35	23.53	0.90	3.75	43.71
Acidity (%)	$0.50~\pm~0.03$	0.05	0.05	11.55	42.94	44.46	0.93	0.43	85.41
Ascorbic acid content (mg/100g)	$4.58 \pm 0.71$	2.53	2.62	6.51	34.78	35.38	0.97	3.23	70.42
Dry matter content (%)	$17.75 \pm 0.71$	4.07	5.57	6.91	11.36	13.30	0.73	3.55	20.00
Oleoresin content (%)	$5.48~\pm~0.18$	4.22	4.32	5.72	37.50	37.93	0.98	4.18	76.36
Yield per plant (fresh) (g)	$158.78 \pm 8.53$	1429.22	1647.25	9.30	23.81	25.57	0.87	72.54	45.69

,		<i>,.</i>							-	-	-		
Traits		Girth of plant	Days taken to	No. of primary	Length of primary	Diameter of primary	No. of secondary	TSS (%)	Acidity (%)	Ascorbic acid content	Dry matter content	Oleoresin content	Yield per plant (fresh)
		(cm)	harvest	finger	finger	finger	finger			(mg/100g)	(%)	(%)	(g)
Plant height (cm)	r <sub>n</sub>	0.15	-0.06	0.35**	0.19	0.17	0.06	0.30*	-0.04	0.17	-0.07	0.19	0.23*
	rg	0.26*	0.11	0.49**	0.19	0.13	0.17	0.40**	-0.06	0.20	-0.25*	0.27*	0.36*
Girth of plant (cm)	r		0.02	0.05	0.28*	0.25	-0.11	0.07	-0.16	-0.26*	0.10	-0.18	-0.10
	ŗ		0.31*	0.02	0.35**	0.38	-0.03	0.04	-0.24	-0.31*	0.12	-0.22	-0.04
Days taken to harvest	r			-0.24*	0.06	0.12	0.00	0.08	0.10	-0.24*	-0.10	80.0	0.09
	ŗ			-0.55**	0.25*	0.31*	0.04	0.30*	0.49**	-0.72**	-0.38**	0.09	-0.11
No. of primary finger	r_				0.22*	-0.07	0.31*	0.11	-0.27*	0.08	0.26	-0.01	0.27*
	ŗ				0.32*	-0.06	0.40**	0.14	-0.26*	0.08	0.33	0.01	0.35**
Length of primary finger	r_					0.09	0.00	-0.13	0.14	0.02	-0.01	-0.16	0.27*
	ŗ					0.11	-0.01	-0.19	0.13	0.02	-0.04	-0.21	0.40**
Diameter of primary Finger finger	r						0.05	0.03	0.24*	0.18	-0.14	-0.18	0.28*
	ŗ						0.06	0.02	0.25*	0.18	-0.14	-0.21	0.31*
No. of secondary finger	r							0.29*	-0.13	-0.09	0.19	0.25*	0.03
	ŗ							0.32*	-0.15	-0.07	0.32**	0.27*	0.01
TSS (%)	r								-0.03	0.10	0.32**	0.26*	-0.08
	ŗ								-0.04	0.12	0.40**	0.28*	-0.05
Acidity (%)	r_									0.39**	-0.19	-0.31*	0.05
	ŗ									0.42**	-0.24*	-0.32*	0.07
Ascorbic acid content (mg/100g)	r_										0.02	-0.06	0.32*
	ŗ										0.02	-0.07	0.37**
Dry matter content (%)	r											0.07	0.17
	r											0.09	0.21
Oleoresin content (%)	r_												-0.08
	r												-0.09

Table 4: Genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficient between yield and quality contributing traits in ginger

for all studied characters as similar reported by Pandey and Dobhal (1993); Tiwari (2003) and Singh and Mittal (2003). Genotypic variance were highest for yield per plant (fresh) (1429.22) followed by plant height (70.90) and days taken to harvest (25.37), respectively (Table 3). High heritability with low genetic advance in per cent of mean was observed for no. of secondary branches, diameter of primary finger and girth of plant which indicated the involvement of non-additive gene action for the expression of these traits and selection for such trait might not be rewarding. Based on high heritability coefficient (h<sup>2</sup> bs) along with high genetic advance as percent of mean, oleoresin content (0.98, 76.36%), ascorbic acid content (0.97, 70.42), acidity % (0.93, 85.45%), TSS per cent (0.90, 43.71) and yield per plant (0.87, 45.69) were found superior traits and representing additive genetic variance (Table 3) therefore, effective selection can be made for these traits as similar reported by Singh, et al., 2003; Yadav, 1999; Mohanty and Sarma, 1979; Rao et al., 2004 and Baranwal et al., 2012. Architecture of ginger rhizome as well as other tuber crops is basic selection parameter based on overall net effect produced by various yield components directly or indirectly by interacting with each another. Genotypic correlation coefficient revealed that rhizome yield had significant positive correlation with length of primary finger (0.40), ascorbic acid content (0.37), plant height (0.36), no. of primary fingers (0.35) and diameter of primary finger (0.31). Among component traits, positive and significant association was observed between plant height with no. of primary finger (0.49) and total soluble solid (TSS) (0.40); length of primary finger with girth of plant (0.35) and no. of primary finger (0.32); TSS with no. of secondary finger (0.32) and dry matter content (0.40); acidity with diameter of primary finger (0.25), days taken to harvest (0.49) and ascorbic acid content(0.42); no. of secondary finger with oleoresin content (0.27) as similar reported by Mohanty and Sharma (1979); Mukhopadhyay and Roy (1986); Yadav and Singh (1987); Chandra and Govind (1999); Singh (2001) and Abraham and Latha(2003). Among component traits, negative and significant association was observed between acidity with number of primary finger (-0.26) and oleoresin content (-0.32); ascorbic acid content with girth of plant (-0.31)and days taken to harvest(-0.72); dry matter content with days taken to harvest (-0.38) (Table 4).

Continuous selection for yield and quality traits is known for fixing of genetic variability in crop plants (Desclaux, 2005). The present study indicated a broad genetic base in the ginger germplasm of India. This finding is in agreement with the findings of Jatoi *et al.*, (2006) who observed high degree of genetic variation in Asian collection of ginger.

## REFERENCES

Abraham, Z. and Latha, M. 2003. Correlation and path analysis in ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.). J. Spices and Aromatic Crops. 12(2): 187-189.

Baranwal, D. K., Mishra, V. K., Vishwakarma, M. K., Yadav, P. S. and Arun, B. 2012. Studies on genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for yield and yield contributing traits in wheat (T. *aestivum* L. em thell.) *Plant Archi.* **12(1)**: 99-104.

Chandra, R. and Govind, S. 1999. Genetic variability and performance of ginger genotypes under mid hills of Meghalaya. *Indian J. Hort.* 56(3): 274-278.

**Comstock, R. E. and Rabinson, H. F. 1952.** Genetic parameter, their estimation and significance. In: in proceed. on 6<sup>th</sup> International Grassland Congress. pp. 284 -291.

**Desclaux, D. 2005.** Participatory plant breeding methods for organic cereals. In: Lammerts Van Bueren, E.T., Gold Ringer, I., Ostergard, H. (Eds.), Proceedings of the Cost Susvar/Eco-Pb Workshop on Organic Plant Breeding Strategies and the Use of Molecular Markers. Driebergen, The Netherlands.

**Dewey, D. K. and Lu, K. H. 1952.** A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass and production. *Agron. J.* **51:** 515-518.

Hanson, C. H., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R. E. 1956. Biometrical

#### RAVISHANKER et al.

studies of yield in segregating population of Korean lespedeza. *Agron. J.* **48:** 268-272.

Hawkes, J. G. 1981 . Germplasm collection, preservation, and use. In Plant Breeding II. K.J. Frey, ed. Ames, Iowa: The Iowa State University Press, pp 57-83.

Jatoi, S. A., Kikuchi, A., Yi, S. S., Naing, K. W., Yamanaka, S., Watanabe, J. A. and Watanabe, K. N. 2006. Use of rice SSR markers as RAPD markers for genetic diversity analysis in Zingiberaceae. *Breed. Sci.* 56: 107-111.

Johnson, H. W., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R. E. 1955. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. *Agron J.* 47(7): 314 -318.

Mohanty, D. C. and Sharma, Y. N. 1979. Genetic variability and correlation for yield and other variables in ginger germplasm. *Ind. J. Agric. Sci.* 49: 250-253.

Mukhopadhyay, S. and Roy, K. 1986. Correlation and path analysis in turmeric (*Curcuma longa L.*). Indian Agriculturist. 30(2): 113-115.

Pandey, G. and Dobhal, V. K. 1993. Genetic variability, character association and path analysis for yield components in ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.). *J. Spices and Aromatic Crops.* 9(1-2): 16-20.

Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. 1989. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, India.

Rao, A. M., Rao, P. V., Reddy, Y. N. and Ganesh, M. 2004. Variability

and correlation studies in turmeric (Curcuma longa L.). Crop Res. Hissar. 27(2/3): 275-281.

Ravishanker, Kumar, S., Baranwal, D. K., Chatterjee, A. and Solankey, S. S. 2013. Genetic diversity based on cluster and principal component analyses for yield and quality attributes in Ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe). Intern J. of Plant Breed. and Gene. DOI: 10.3923.

Singh, A. K. 2001. Correlation and path analysis for certain metric traits in ginger. *Annals of Agril. Res.* 22(2): 285-286.

Singh, R. K. and Chaudhury, B. D. 1985. Biometrical methods of quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, India.

Singh, Y. and Mittal, P. 2003. Variability studies in ginger (*Zingiber* officinale Rosc.) under humid sub-temperate conditions. *Crop Res. Hissar.* 25(1): 194-196.

Singh, Y., Mittal, P., Katoch, V. and Singh, Y. 2003. Genetic variability and heritability in turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.). *Himachal J. Agril. Res.* 29(1-2): 31-34.

Tiwari, S. K. 2003. Genetic variability and correlation studies in ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.). *Annals of Agril.* Res. 24(2): 261-265.

Yadav, D. S. and Singh, R. 1987. Association analysis of yield and its components in turmeric Genetic variability and heritability in turmeric (*Curcuma longa L.*). *Indian J. of Hort.* **44(1-2):** 78-80.

Yadav, R. K. 1999. Genetic variability in ginger (Zingiber officinale Rosc.). J. Spices and Aromatic Crops. 8(1): 81-83.